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Young Conservatives of Texas is an independent, non-partisan youth organization dedicated to the preservation of individual liberties and freedoms through limited government.

YCT is an organization that enables college students the opportunity to participate in the political process. YCT believes that there is no better way to educate and train young citizens as to the working of our government than to have them directly participate in the process.

Since 1975, YCT has prepared its ratings of legislators as a public service to the citizens of Texas. The purpose is to better inform them of the activities and performance of their representative lawmakers. YCT has selected the following bills which it believes accurately serve to gauge a legislator's philosophy in light of YCT's Statement of Principles.

Over the past twenty-two years, the YCT ratings have earned the reputation as the best and most reliable measure of determining who is conservative in the Texas Legislature. Our ratings are unique in that YCT is the only organization in Texas to produce ratings of a state legislature for the last 20 years.

The YCT ratings cover an important period in Texas history. Since our first ratings, we have witnessed dramatic changes in Texas politics. During the past twenty-two years, Texans have seen the emergence of a viable Republican Party and the virtual disappearance of a conservative presence in the Democratic Party. These ratings serve to record the history of Texas lawmaking. The YCT ratings should not be construed as an official endorsement of any party or official.

## Voting Legend

- " 1 " stands for a vote in favor of YCT's position.
- " 0 " stands for a vote against YCT's position.
- Italicized names denote members of the Republican Party; regular text denotes Democrats.
- "E" stands for an excused absence, and no penalties were assigned. The average was compiled as if there were fewer votes for those legislators than have an excused absence.
- "U" stands for an unexcused absence and no penalties were assigned.
- "N" stands for present not voting, also called "White-Lightning." These votes were treated as votes against the YCT position.
- Vote numbers correspond with House and Senate Bill Explanations, respectively
- Please note, if a Legislator made a "statement of vote" that changed his actual vote, the YCT vote results will demonstrate the intention of the Legislator, not the actual vote.
- There are two numerical scores shown on our ratings. The first score represents the legislator's performance during the $75^{\text {th }}$ Texas Legislature. The second score is a composite rating, based on the member's votes in all previous sessions.


## Senate Vote Explanations

1) HB 793. This statue allows landowners to mark trees or posts with purple paint as a warning to would-be-trespassers. Just like a "NO TRESPASSING" sign or actual communication to individuals that no trespassing is allowed on the property. This bill set forth provisions regarding a notice that entry on property is forbidden for the purpose of prosecuting the offense of trespass. (Failed 1219) YCT recommends a NO vote on Floor Amendment Three. Floor amendment three would have diminished greatly the purpose of the bill by placing into the bill a defense for trespass who are colorblind, or have other medical disorders which prevent them from seeing the purple paint clearly. (5/23/97, p 2639)
2) HB 3161. This bill provides specific circumstances under which the commission may adopt rules requiring an injured employee to submit to more than one required medical examination (RME) in a 180-day period. This bill also provides punishments for those employers who unreasonably request too many RME's. Also, this bill allows insurance companies to keep the cost of worker's compensation premiums reasonable. (Passes 23-8) YCT recommends a YES vote on this legislation. Trial attorneys disliked HB 3161 because it thwarts corruption and abuse of employers. (5/23/97, p 2601)
3) HB 3478. This bill calls for a portion of State Highway 35 in Houston to be named the Dr. John B. Coleman Memorial Highway. (Passed 20-9) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. YCT believes that the creation of a memorial should be reserved for exemplary Texans. Being a well-known abortionist, Dr. Coleman is hardly a role model worth memorializing. (5/27/97, p 3257)
4) HJR 8. This constitutional amendment assigns the full faith and credit of the State of Texas to keep the Texas Tomorrow Fund solvent. This plan gives parents the choice to give money to the state when the child is at an early age, so that when the child is college age, because of interest earned on the dollars, the parents will be able to guarantee funds for their child to go to a state higher education institution. (Passed 21-9) YCT recommends a NO vote on this legislation. This plan calls for the immediate allocation of funds to meet any shortage without an appropriation from the Legislature. The Legislature, not another state entity, should directly appropriate money into a fund if a shortage arises. (5/7/97, p 1649)
5) HJR 31. Adoption of proposed constitutional amendment to allow for voluntary home equity loans for a first-lien refinance mortgage loan, a mortgage loan, or a second home equity loan. (Passed 21-8) YCT recommends a YES vote on this amendment. The ability to tap home equity in lending would allow Texas homeowners a great deal of flexibility in managing their financing. Homeowners, not overbearing government should decide whether or not they should obtain home equity loans for necessities. Allowing home equity lending broadens consumers' choices. (5/24/97, p 2890)
6) SB 86. This bill requires parental notification before an abortion can be performed on a minor, but it provides exceptions in when parental notification is not in the best adolescent's best interest. (Passed 22-9) YCT recommends a YES vote on this legislation. YCT believes that abortions should be treated like any other medical procedure and at least require parental notification. Currently, in Texas a minor cannot get a tattoo, but can obtain an abortion. (4/19/97, p 638)
7) SB 99. This bill provides that motorcyclists of age 21 and over may forego wearing protective headgear while operating or riding as a passenger on a motorcycle. However, a motorcycle safety-training course needs to be taken or the rider must be covered by a health insurance plan providing medical health benefits for injuries caused while operating a motorcycle. (Adopted 20-9) YCT recommends a YES vote on this bill. This measure, while imperfect and limited, would extend the scope of individual freedom. (4/20/97, p 670)
8) SB 313. This bill enables those districts that were annexed to call a vote on whether or not that district shall stay annexed. (Passed 19-12) YCT recommends a YES vote on this legislation. This bill gives annexed citizens the right to determine their own communities' futures. (3/26/97, p 788)
9) CSSB 1571. This bill sets new rules for how municipalities may determine the rates paid for utilities. (Passed 19-7) YCT recommends a YES vote on this bill. Under this bill the municipality is granted greater control in regulating the natural monopolies of utilities. YCT believes that the smaller levels of government should hold most of the responsibilities for running government. Municipalities are able to respond to the peoples' needs faster and more efficiently than larger tiers of government. (5/8/97, p 1651)
10) CSSB 1591. Currently, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has proposed a project called "XL," which is advertised to allow companies to seek alternatives to current laws in return for a higher standard of environmental performance. However, one major problem with the EPA's approach is that there is no statutory authority for EPA to waive existing laws. Companies are uncomfortable with EPA's offer of compliance agreements or on-site specific rules if there is no way to enforce them. (Passed 24-5) YCT recommends a YES vote on this legislation. This bill provides specific statutory authorization of state programs, which exceed federal laws to serve as models for regulatory flexibility. By enhancing regulatory flexibility in environmental standards Texas businesses will have more versatility in dealing with cumbersome regulations. (4/22/97, p 1236)

Senate Vote Results

| Legislator | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | 75th | Composite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armbrister | 1 | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 78\% | 54\% |
| Barrientos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 1 | 11\% | 9\% |
| Bivins | 1 | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 67\% | 81\% |
| Brown | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 92\% |
| Cain | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 60\% | 29\% |
| Carona | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 92\% |
| Duncan | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 60\% | 75\% |
| Ellis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | U | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 30\% | 14\% |
| Fraser | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 67\% | 88\% |
| Gallegos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 20\% | 21\% |
| Galloway | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 100\% | 97\% |
| Harris | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 82\% |
| Haywood | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 70\% | 82\% |
| Lindsay | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 80\% | 80\% |
| Lucio | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | E | 5 | 56\% | 27\% |
| Luna | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | U | U | 1 | 13\% | 15\% |
| Madla | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 60\% | 28\% |
| Moncrief | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 50\% | 35\% |
| Nelson | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 100\% | 97\% |
| Nixon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 92\% |
| Ogden | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 80\% | 85\% |
| Patterson | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 85\% |
| Ratliff | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | U | 1 | 7 | 78\% | 72\% |
| Shapiro | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 100\% | 95\% |
| Shapleigh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | U | 0 | 2 | 22\% | 22\% |
| Sibley | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 6 | 67\% | 78\% |
| Truan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 30\% | 17\% |
| Wentworth | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 50\% | 78\% |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 40\% | 27\% |
| Whitmire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 30\% | 34\% |
| Zaffirini | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 40\% | 27\% |

## House Vote Explanations

1) HB 3. This bill calls for the creation of the Healthy Kids Corporation. This corporation is designed to create a program to procure health benefits for qualified children. (Passed 105-30) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This bill is the first step toward socializing the medical industry. Currently, there are private medical foundations designed to provide basic health services. Texas does not need another layer of bureaucracy. This bill is just more feel good welfareism. (RV \#394, 5/12/97, p 2283)
2) HB 4. Motion to table Horn Amendment allowing school districts to offer students an Education Development Grant (commonly referred to as a voucher). The school would be chosen by the students' parents. (Tabled 81-66) YCT recommends a NO vote on the motion to table. The Horn Amendment would have enhanced parents' freedom to choose and decide what education their children should receive. By instituting competition in the school system, schools would then be forced to become effective and efficient. (RV \#225, 4/24/97, p 1389)
3) CSHB 4. Passage of the governor's tax proposal to engrossment. The plan called for a 20 -cent property tax reduction and a new 4.5 percent net earned surplus tax levied on corporations. (Passed 94-49) YCT recommends a NO vote on the passage to engrossment. In effect, this bill is a tax increase. The revenue that is expected to be collected will be greater than presently raised. YCT firmly stands against all new taxes, tax increases, or "sneaky" tax shifting. The government did not cut taxes, it merely shifted them into other forms. This hidden agenda gives a false belief that a tax cut was provided to the citizens of Texas. (RV \#242, 4/26/97, p 1596)
4) CSHB 318. Adoption of the Dunnam Amendment to the Wilson Amendment providing that public education grants (vouchers) may not go to religious schools, which require students to attend a religious service and who admit only boys or girls. (Adopted 84-59) YCT recommends a NO vote on the adoption of theDunnam Amendment. This amendment would limit parents' freedom to use a voucher to decide what type of education their children should receive. (RV \#324, 5/6/97, p 1973)
5) HB 671. This bill would prohibit insurers from making an underwriting decision based partly or solely on a credit report for auto, homeowner, farmowner, or fire insurance policies. (Adopted 71-71) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This proposed government regulation would have kept insurance underwriters from making necessary reviews on an applicant's creditworthiness. This bill prevents the making of informed decisions, thereby creating a greater burden and risk for the insurance companies and for those who hold insurance. (RV \#314, 5/6/97, p 1957)
6) CSHB 797. Passage of bill to require children under the age of 18 to wear a bicycle helmet when riding on streets and roadways or be fined. (Failed 56-77) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This bill allows for unnecessary government invasion of individual liberties. Bicycling organizations and retail stores and shops are doing a very good job of fostering awareness of wearing bicycle helmets. (RV \#308, 5/5/97, p 1917)
7) CSHB 966. Passage of bill to implement a boater education program in the parks and wildlife department to educate persons about safe operation of boats. (Adopted 72-62) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. Creates another unnecessary layer of bureaucracy. (RV \#309, 5/5/97, p 1929)
8) CSHB 1131. Motion to table bill requiring the attorney general to establish a toll-free Environmental Hotline to receive information on violations of environmental laws. The bill would require the attorney general to compensate individuals up to $\$ 1000$ for reporting information leading to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties for violations. (Tabled 74-65) YCT recommends a YES vote on the motion to table. Sufficient mechanisms already exist with the TNRCC for enforcing environmental laws. Thus, the Environmental Hotline proposed is unnecessary, and adds to the state budget. (RV \#307, 5/5/97, p 1908)
9) CSHB 1188. Adoption of the Maxey Amendment providing that financial institutions may not charge more than one dollar for ATM transactions a customer makes. If this regulation is violated, the financial institutions will be punished by being prevented from making loans. (Adopted 88-46) YCT recommends a NO vote on the Maxey Amendment. This amendment constitues arbitrary government intervention. Government should not impose price controls on the fees that lending institutions make. (RV \#389, 5/12/97, p 2258)
10) CSHB 1277. This bill relinquishes limits on interest rates for credit cards. (Adopted 95-45) YCT recommends a YES vote on this bill. Government should not be involved in regulating business. (RV \#126, 4/17/97, p 1020)
11) HB 1391. This bill would impose continuing education requirements for air conditioning and refrigeration contractors. (Failed 6675) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This bill would have imposed unnecessary government regulations on members of this profession. (RV \#173, 4/17/97, p 1179)
12) CSHB 1700. Motion to table the bill that would force school districts to provide health coverage for all school employees. (Tabled 65-75) YCT recommends a YES vote on the motion to table. School districts should decide whether or not to provide health benefits. This bill is nothing but arbitrary government control over local school districts. (RV \#354, 5/8/97, p 2122)
13) CSHB 2129. This bill creates a 9-1-1 emergency service fee for cellular phone users. The fee shall be imposed on the subscriber to be paid through the company to the state. (Adopted 72-65) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This is just a tax under another name, YCT stands against all additional taxes. (RV \#325, 5/6/97, p 1984)
14) HB 2400. Requires business with 50 or more employees to grant family and medical leave to employees for up to 12 weeks. The Workforce Commission would investigate any violations of the law. (Adopted 71-66) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This bill is almost identical to Federal Law and would therefore impose unnecessary government intervention in the employer/employee relationship. (RV \#286, 5/2/97, p 1831)
15) CSHB 3047. This bill regulates the salary of county constables in counties that have at least one million people residing there. The salary would be set at 80 percent of the county judge's salary. (Failed 41-81) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. State government should not regulate the salaries of various county officials. Salaries should be designed to reward for abilities and achievements. County officials should determine the salary worthiness of the constable position in each district. (RV \#326, 5/6/97, p 1990)
16) HB 3116. This law over regulates when and when not an employer can hire a welfare recipient. (Adopted 85-53) YCT recommends a NO vote on this bill. This law discourages employers from hiring welfare recipients, and thus preventing them from entering the community as a contributor not a receiver. (RV \#188, 4/18/97, p 1241)
17) CSHJR 4. Adoption of the Culberson-Shields Amendment providing that the state budget surplus is to be used to provide home property tax relief, allocate lottery revenues for public education, and create the Texas Grace Commission. The commission would examine all state agencies and recommend cost-effective measures involving agency spending reductions or eliminations. The Legislature would have to accept or reject the recommendations presented by the Commission. (Failed 52-96) YCT recommends a YES vote on the adoption of this amendment. All state surplus revenue should be dedicated for tax relief and a Texas Grace Commission should be created to recommend the elimination of waste, fraud, and unnecessary spending among state agencies. (RV \#211, 4/23/97, p 1336)
18) CSHJR 4. Adoption of Berlanga Amendment, providing that funding of schools should be equal in all districts. Also that state funding of school districts should be determined by that school district's tax base. (Adopted 79-69) YCT recommends a NO vote on the adoption of the Berlanga Amendment. This amendment would have spurred a redistribution of school funding from richer districts to poorer districts. Equalization of school funding will not provide equal quality of school instruction. Better classroom instruction and discipline provide the necessary conditions for quality education. (RV \#215, 4/23/97, p 1344)
19) CSHJR 8. Motion to table Heflin Amendment providing that the Legislature may appropriate an amount sufficient to pay the tuition and fees of the Texas Tomorrow Fund. This appropriation would occur only if there are insufficient funds in the Texas Tomorrow Fund. (Tabled 97-47) YCT recommends a NO vote on the motion to table the Heflin Amendment. The Legislature, not another state entity, should directly appropriate money into a fund if a shortage arises. (RV \#52, 3/18/97, p 668)
20) CSHJR 31. Adoption of proposed constitutional amendment to allow for voluntary home equity loans for a first-lien refinance mortgage loan, a mortgage loan, or a second home equity loan. (Adopted 112-36) YCT recommends a YES vote on this bill. Homeowners, not overbearing government, should decide whether or not they should obtain home equity loans for necessities. (RV \#370, 5/9/97, p 2183)
21) SB 99. This bill provides that motorcyclists over the age of 21 may forgo wearing protective headgear while operating or riding as a passenger on a motorcycle. However, a motorcycle safety-training course needs to be taken or the rider must be covered by a health insurance plan providing medical health benefits for injuries caused while operating a motorcycle. (Adopted 110-30) YCT recommends a YES vote on this bill. This measure, while imperfect and limited, would extend the scope of individual freedom. (RV \#148, 4/15/97, p 1110)
22) CSSB 359. Adoption of the Howard Amendment which strikes a provision, that would have included "family child care homes" (those few private homes used to monitor small numbers of children) under the regulatory umbrella of the Department of Protective and Regulatory Services. This department is designed to regulate commercial day care facilities, not private homes. (Adopted 7370) YCT recommends a YES vote on the adoption of the Howard Amendment. Family childcare homes should be free from over regulation so that families have greater choices in seeking quality childcare. (RV \#472, 5/21/97, p 3070)
23) CSSB 1873. Motion to table Heflin Amendment providing that students eligible for public education grants rejected for enrollment by a public school may not attend a private school selected by the student's parents. (Tabled 76-61) YCT recommends a NO vote on the motion to table the Heflin Amendment. The Heflin Amendment would have enhanced a parent's freedom to decide and choose what education their children should receive. (RV \#562, 5/26/97, p 3781)

## House Vote Results

| Legislator | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | Total | 75th | Composite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 36\% | 40\% |
| Allen | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 93\% |
| Alvarado | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 17\% |
| Averitt | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 87\% |
| Bailey | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 14\% |
| Berlanga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | U | 1 | 5\% | 21\% |
| Bonnen | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 83\% | 83\% |
| Bosse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 21\% |
| Brimer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | U | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 64\% | 83\% |
| Burnam | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 13\% |
| Carter | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 59\% | 71\% |
| Chavez | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10\% | 10\% |
| Chisum | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 65\% | 74\% |
| Christian | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 21 | 95\% | 95\% |
| Clark | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | E | 0 | U | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 89\% | 89\% |
| Coleman | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | E | E | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13\% | 13\% |
| Cook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 26\% | 56\% |
| Corte | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 94\% |
| Counts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 26\% | 41\% |
| Crabb | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 0 | U | 1 | 19 | 90\% | 94\% |
| Craddick | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 92\% |
| Cuellar | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 22\% | 27\% |
| Culberson | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 86\% | 89\% |
| Danburg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 15\% |
| Davila | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 23\% |
| Davis | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 9\% |
| Delisi | E | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | E | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | E | E | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 75\% | 85\% |
| Denny | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 92\% |
| Driver | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 82\% | 85\% |
| Dukes | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10\% | 5\% |
| Dunnam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22\% | 22\% |
| Dutton | 0 | 0 | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 10\% |
| Edwards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5\% | 23\% |
| Ehrhardt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14\% | 7\% |
| Eiland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | E | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22\% | 26\% |
| Elkins | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 100\% | 98\% |
| Farrar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 2\% |
| Finnell | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 74\% | 69\% |
| Flores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 9\% |
| Gallego | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | U | E | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | E | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13\% | 23\% |
| Galloway | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 83\% |
| Garcia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 9\% |
| Giddings | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15\% | 15\% |
| Glaze | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19\% | 27\% |
| Goodman | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | U | 12 | 55\% | 76\% |
| Goolsby | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 75\% | 68\% |
| Gray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 14\% |
| Greenberg | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14\% | 12\% |
| Grusendorf | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 96\% | 86\% |
| Gutierrez | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18\% | 21\% |
| Haggerty | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 73\% | 84\% |


| Legislator | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | Total | 75th | Composite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamric | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 92\% |
| Hartnett | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 93\% |
| Hawley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 39\% | 45\% |
| Heflin | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 100\% | 98\% |
| Hernandez | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 13\% |
| Hightower | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | N | 0 | 3 | 14\% | 38\% |
| Hilbert | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 92\% |
| Hilderbran | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 86\% | 92\% |
| Hill | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 91\% | 90\% |
| Hinojosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | U | 0 | 4 | 19\% | 19\% |
| Hirschi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 19\% |
| Hochberg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 15\% |
| Hodge | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 17\% |
| Holzeheauser | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 89\% |
| Horn | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | E | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 100\% | 96\% |
| Howard | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 95\% | 94\% |
| Hunter | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 75\% |
| Hupp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Isett | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 91\% | 91\% |
| Jackson | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 91\% | 90\% |
| Janek | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 91\% | 89\% |
| Jones, $D$. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 76\% | 69\% |
| Jones, J. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 12\% |
| Junell | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 1 | E | E | E | 1 | 1 | E | E | E | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21\% | 35\% |
| Kamel | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 65\% | 88\% |
| Keel | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 70\% | 70\% |
| Keffer | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 87\% |
| King | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22\% | 35\% |
| Krusee | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 78\% | 93\% |
| Kubiak | 0 | 0 | 1 | E | E | 1 | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | U | 1 | 1 | 8 | 47\% | 57\% |
| Kuempel | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 15 | 68\% | 88\% |
| Lewis, G | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22\% | 22\% |
| Lewis, R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 30\% | 42\% |
| Longoria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 9\% |
| Luna | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 13\% |
| Madden | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 96\% | 97\% |
| Marchant | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | U | 0 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 90\% | 89\% |
| Maxey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 8\% |
| McCall | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | U | 16 | 73\% | 79\% |
| McClendon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 9\% |
| McReynolds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22\% | 22\% |
| Merrit | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 68\% | 68\% |
| Moffat | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 77\% | 83\% |
| Moreno | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5\% | 12\% |
| Mowery | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 77\% | 86\% |
| Naishtat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 8\% |
| Nixon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 89\% |
| Oakley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22\% | 41\% |
| Oliveira | E | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17\% | 19\% |
| Olivo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13\% | 13\% |
| Palmer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 78\% | 78\% |
| Patterson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 39\% | 67\% |
| Pickett | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 15\% |
| Pitts | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 90\% |


| Legislator | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | Total | 75th | Composite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 0 | E | 3 | 15\% | 31\% |
| Price | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | U | 1 | 5\% | 17\% |
| Puente | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 13\% |
| Rabuck | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 91\% | 89\% |
| Ramsay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 43\% | 62\% |
| Rangel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14\% | 11\% |
| Raymond | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 25\% |
| Reyna, A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 4\% |
| Reyna, E. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 100\% | 94\% |
| Rhodes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 30\% | 33\% |
| Roman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 83\% | 83\% |
| Sadler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17\% | 27\% |
| Seaman | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 87\% |
| Serna | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | E | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15\% | 17\% |
| Shields | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 91\% | 94\% |
| Siebert | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 95\% | 97\% |
| Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 78\% | 78\% |
| Smithee | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 85\% | 90\% |
| Solis | E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14\% | 10\% |
| Solomons | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 0 | E | 1 | 19 | 86\% | 89\% |
| Staples | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 87\% | 89\% |
| Stiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | N | 1 | 0 | U | 1 | U | 0 | U | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21\% | 47\% |
| Swinford | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | E | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | E | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 76\% | 89\% |
| Talton | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 95\% | 95\% |
| Telford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | E | 0 | 6 | 29\% | 43\% |
| Thompson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | U | 3 | 14\% | 14\% |
| Tillery | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 26\% | 27\% |
| Torres | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22\% | 17\% |
| Turner, B. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 39\% | 50\% |
| Turner, S. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18\% | 14\% |
| Uher | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | N | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 43\% | 60\% |
| Van de Putte | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 18\% |
| Walker | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 61\% | 76\% |
| West | 1 | 1 | 0 | E | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 95\% | 92\% |
| Williams | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 0 | 1 | E | 19 | 86\% | 86\% |
| Williamson | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | U | 1 | 0 | 0 | U | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 74\% | 63\% |
| Wilson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | U | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 22\% | 22\% |
| Wise | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 9\% |
| Wohlgemuth | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 91\% | 96\% |
| Wolens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 26\% | 43\% |
| Woolley | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 82\% | 88\% |
| Yarbrough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9\% | 19\% |
| Zbranek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 26\% | 23\% |

# The Young Conservatives of Texas Honor Roll 

## Senate

Michael Galloway - The Woodlands<br>Jane Nelson - Flower Mound<br>Florence Shapiro - Plano

## House

Wayne Christian - Jasper
Gary Elkins - Houston
Kent Grusendorf - Arlington
Talmadge Heflin - Houston
Jim Horn - Denton
Charlie Howard - Sugarland
Suzanna Gratia Hupp - Lampasas
Jerry Madden - Richardson
Elvira Reyna - Mesquite
Bill Siebert - San Antonio
Robert Talton - Pasadena
G.E. "Buddy" West - Odessa

## Historical Honor Roll

Senate
J.E. "Buster" Brown - Lake Jackson

John Corana - Dallas
Drew Nixon - Carthage
House
Ray Allen-Grand Prarie
Frank Corte - San Antonio
Joe Crabb - Humble
Tom Craddick - Midland
Peggy Hamrick - Houston
Will Hartnett - Dallas
Paul Hilbert - Houston
Harvey Hilderbran - Kerrville
Mike Krusee - Austin
John Shields - San Antonio
Arlene Wohlgemuth - Burleson

# Young Conservatives of Texas 

## Legislative Ratings Committee

Chairman<br>Brent Tantillo

Vice-Chairman<br>Fred Tate

James Hesse
Jill Horton
Andrew Meek
Ran Nelson

Young Conservatives of Texas State Officers

## State Chairman

Fred Tate
Senior Vice-Chairman
Brent Tantillo
Junior Vice-Chairman
Jeb Mason
State Secretary
Jill Horton

